

The Effectiveness of British Fiscal and Monetary Policy in Responding to the Economic Crises in the First Half of the 20th Century

Vedant Rastogi

Abstract

This paper examines the effectiveness of British fiscal and monetary policy during the First World War, the interwar crises, and the Second World War. Through a historical comparison, it argues that policy outcomes improved as governments moved away from balanced-budget orthodoxy and rigid monetary commitments toward pragmatic intervention. The discussion contrasts successful wartime resource mobilisation with the constraints imposed by post-war austerity and the 1925 return to the Gold Standard. It identifies the abandonment of gold in 1931 and the subsequent cheap-money policy as important supports for recovery, while emphasising the continuing limitations of fiscal restraint. The Second World War is presented as the strongest example of coordinated fiscal expansion and monetary accommodation, supported by taxation, borrowing, and measures to manage inflation. The paper concludes that effectiveness depended on policy objectives and institutional flexibility, with Britain's experience demonstrating the value of adapting economic policy to changing circumstances.

Keywords:

British Economic History; Fiscal Policy; Monetary Policy; Gold Standard; Great Depression; War Finance; Keynesian Economics.

"The difficulty lies not so much in developing new ideas as in escaping from old ones."
– John Maynard Keynes (British Economist)

Introduction

Economic history... it is much, much more than a list of figures, policies, speeches, sterile language of interest rates, inflation patterns, budgets, charts, tables ... nope. It is a story, one where governments are forced to gamble on the future, where governments must wrestle with uncertainty to make decisions with stakes that could not be higher.

Very few cases illustrate this fact better than Britain in the first half of the twentieth century. For Britain, these were long, dreadful decades that were marred by war, rattled by depression, and haunted by the slow collapse of the old laissez-faire order.

The key question that this essay discusses is not whether Britain's fiscal and monetary policies were perfect – they were not – but how they rose to meet the crises of their time. I mean, were policy-makers still chained to the ghosts of old credos, or did they ACTUALLY dare to break free?

I. The First World War and Its Aftermath

Britain marched into the First World War in 1914 with little to no thought for the colossal financial strain that would follow. By 1918, the numbers were breathtaking: national debt had exploded to nearly £8 billion. Interest payments alone swallowed close to 40% of government expenditure. Britain had officially become one of the greatest debtors in history. Yet, in the heat of war, this burden was not seen as paralysis... It was seen as survival.

Fig 1.1



Fiscal policy during those years was not cautious, not balanced, but deliberately expansive. The state demanded sacrifice: higher income taxes bit into ordinary pay packets, excess profits duty clawed at industrial giants, and millions of citizens poured their savings into war bonds, borne aloft by the belief that even their pennies were bullets in the fight for victory. The Treasury turned the entire economy into a weapon of war. And in that context, fiscal policy worked. It kept soldiers fed, factories running, and ships moving. Britain, for all its exhaustion, managed to mobilise resources on a scale the world had never seen.

But then came peace. And peace, ironically, is where the real failure began. With the war over, Britain had stood battered with all its industries weakened, its trade being disrupted, and its debt mountain casting a long, long shadow on the dawn that peace had promised. Here was the chance to rebuild with new principles, to rethink how a modern state could steer its economy. Did policymakers rise to that challenge? No... they recoiled into orthodoxy. The Treasury, clinging to the ghost of Victorian "sound finance," obsessed over balanced budgets and austerity.

The most striking symbol of this was the 1925 return to the Gold Standard at pre-war parity. Winston Churchill, then Chancellor, hoped to restore Britain's old financial glory. But prestige is not prosperity. In practice, it meant an overvalued pound, exports priced out of global markets, and unemployment stuck at painfully high levels.

Monetary policy here was not merely ineffective... It was actively harmful. Britain was strapped into an austerity straightjacket at precisely the moment it needed freedom to breathe. Keynes, who was never really one to hold back, called the Gold Standard a "barbarous relic," and history has been unkind enough to prove him right.

II. The Great Depression's Hesitation, Then Adaptation

The 1929 Wall Street Crash sent shockwaves rippling across the entire globe. Specifically, for Britain, which was already struggling with industrial decline, it could have been catastrophic. And how did the government respond? With timidity. Let me explain: the May Committee of 1931 urged harsh cuts to public spending to "restore confidence," and the National Government dutifully obeyed. But confidence in what? In starving the unemployed? In shrinking an economy that was already shrinking?

Fig 1.2



This was orthodoxy taken to a whole new level of absurdity. Austerity may have satisfied financiers in the City, but it worsened the plight of ordinary citizens.

Yet, paradoxically, the Depression years also highlight Britain's ability, sometimes by accident, to adapt. The forced abandonment of the Gold Standard in 1931, a move born of desperation, turned out to be liberating. Sterling devalued, exports regained competitiveness, and interest rates were slashed under the "cheap money" policy. Housing boomed, investment picked up, and by the mid-1930s, Britain was on a recovery path.

Let's be clear: fiscal policy remained timid, and unemployment stubbornly high. But compared to America's deep slump, Britain's downturn was shorter and less severe. Not because Britain followed a master plan, but because it stumbled, almost unwillingly, into more flexible monetary management. Sometimes effectiveness is less about genius and more about letting go of old dogmas.

III. The Second World War and the Triumph of Fiscal Policy

If the First World War exposed the dangers of clinging to outdated economics, the Second World War proved the extraordinary power of bold, unapologetic fiscal policy. Total war demanded total commitment, and the Treasury delivered it.

Taxes soared, reaching into every household, covering almost 40% of the war bill. But Britons paid, because they knew their sacrifices were not abstract, they were Spitfires, rations, tanks, and victory. Massive borrowing filled the rest of the gap. Yet unlike the timidity of the interwar years, no one agonised over "balancing the books." The Treasury accepted deficits not as moral failings but as the cost of survival. Keynes himself left the ivory tower and entered the corridors of power, shaping policies that channelled taxation and deferred consumption to keep inflation under control even as the government spent on a colossal scale.

Monetary policy, once treated as a free-floating guardian of orthodoxy, was now firmly harnessed to fiscal needs. Interest rates were deliberately pinned low, keeping the burden of debt manageable. The Bank of England did not posture as an aloof institution; it worked hand-in-hand with the Treasury to keep the war economy steady.

The results were staggering. Britain managed to finance a war that cost as much as its GDP without financial collapse. An economy that had seemed paralysed by debt in the 1920s and hesitant in the 1930s was now transformed into a machine capable of sustaining the most intense war effort in modern history.

Effectiveness here is not a matter of debate... it is beyond doubt. Britain showed that when fiscal and monetary policy were coordinated, courageous, and expansive, they could do more than stabilise an economy; they could carry a nation through its darkest hour.

IV. Effectiveness in Perspective –

So how do we judge effectiveness across this tumultuous half-century? The answer depends on the goals. In wartime, the goal was survival, and fiscal policy achieved it. In the Depression, the goal was recovery, and here Britain half-failed, half-succeeded, saved more by monetary flexibility than by fiscal boldness. In the 1920s, the goal was stability, yet by clinging to the Gold Standard, Britain condemned itself to stagnation instead.

The lesson is clear: effectiveness grew as orthodoxy weakened. Britain's greatest successes came when it abandoned prestige-driven dogmas and embraced pragmatism. The Gold Standard failed; cheap money worked. Balanced budgets bred misery; deficit spending won the war. Every crisis Britain faced was also a test of whether it could "escape from old ideas."

V. Conclusion

Returning to Keynes's words, "The difficulty lies not so much in developing new ideas as in escaping from old ones," we see Britain's central challenge in the first half of the twentieth century. Policymakers were not blind to innovation; they were chained to orthodoxy. The failures of the 1920s and early 1930s grew from that bondage. The triumphs of the late 1930s and 1940s came when Britain broke those chains, daring to treat fiscal deficits as instruments rather than heresies, and to let monetary policy serve the nation rather than the gold standard.

Were Britain's policies effective? At times ruinously misguided, at others brilliantly transformative. Yet the trajectory bent toward progress. By 1945, Britain had grasped that fiscal and monetary policy could not merely respond to crises but reimagine society itself. That realisation was not just effective, it was revolutionary. And it was only possible once Britain did what Keynes had urged all along: escape the prison of old ideas.

VII. Figures

Fig 1.1: "Patriotism turned fiscal: Britons bought war bonds believing even their pennies were bullets for victory." **Fig 1.2:** "Austerity's victims: dole queues and despair as Britain clung to 'sound finance' in the early 1930s."

VI. Bibliography

AI CITATION: In preparing this work, I used ChatGPT and Perplexity AI to clarify certain concepts. Specifically, I used it to better understand the distinctions between fiscal policy and monetary policy, gather relevant vocabulary for economic analysis, and understand some portions of the topic. All final interpretations, arguments, and conclusions (the essay itself) is my own.

Other Citations:

- [1] Broadberry, S., & Harrison, M. (Eds.). (2005). *The economics of World War I*. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Broadberry, S., & Howlett, P. (2005). *The United Kingdom during World War I: Business as usual?* In S. Broadberry & M. Harrison (Eds.), *The economics of World War I* (pp. 206–234). Cambridge University Press.
- [3] Broadberry, S., & Howlett, P. (1998). *The United Kingdom: "Victory at all costs."* In M. Harrison (Ed.), *The economics of World War II: Six great powers in international comparison* (pp. 43–80). Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Cain, P. J., & Hopkins, A. G. (2002). *British imperialism, 1688–2000* (2nd ed.). Longman.
- [5] Capie, F., & Webber, A. (1985). *A monetary history of the United Kingdom, 1870– 1982: Data, methods, and sources*. Allen & Unwin.
- [6] Churchill, W. (1925). *Budget speech, April 28, 1925*. His Majesty's Stationery Office.
- [7] Crafts, N. (2012). *British economic policy after 1945*. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 28(3), 350–367.

- [8] Crafts, N., & Fearon, P. (Eds.). (2010). *The Great Depression of the 1930s: Lessons for today*. Oxford University Press.
- [9] Daunton, M. (2002). *Just taxes: The politics of taxation in Britain, 1914–1979*. Cambridge University Press.
- [10] Eichengreen, B. (1992). *Golden fetters: The gold standard and the Great Depression, 1919– 1939*. Oxford University Press.
- [11] Eichengreen, B. (2004). Keynes and protection. In M. Flandreau (Ed.), *Money doctors: The experience of international financial advising 1850–2000* (pp. 203–226). Routledge.
- [12] Feinstein, C. H. (1990). New estimates of average earnings in the United Kingdom, 1880– 1913. *Economic History Review*, 43(1), 95–112.
- [13] Feinstein, C. H. (1997). *Statistical tables of national income, expenditure and output of the UK, 1855–1965*. Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Foreman-Peck, J. (1995). *A history of the world economy: International economic relations since 1850*. Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- [15] Howson, S. (1975). *Domestic monetary management in Britain, 1919–38*. Cambridge University Press.
- [16] Howson, S. (1980). Sterling's managed float: The operation of the Exchange Equalisation Account, 1932–1939. *Princeton Essays in International Finance*, 139, 1–55.
- [17] Johnson, P. (1994). *Twentieth-century Britain: Economic, social and cultural change*. Longman.
- [18] Keynes, J. M. (1919). *The economic consequences of the peace*. Macmillan.
- [19] Keynes, J. M. (1923). *A tract on monetary reform*. Macmillan.
- [20] Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The general theory of employment, interest and money*. Macmillan.
- [21] Kindleberger, C. P. (1986). *The world in depression, 1929–1939* (Rev. ed.). University of California Press.
- [22] Middleton, R. (1996). *Government versus the market: The growth of the public sector, economic management and British economic performance, c. 1890–1979*. Edward Elgar.
- [23] Moggridge, D. E. (1992). *Maynard Keynes: An economist's biography*. Routledge.
- [24] Peden, G. C. (1985). *British economic and social policy: Lloyd George to Margaret Thatcher*. Philip Allan.
- [25] Peden, G. C. (2000). The Treasury as an economic ministry, 1906–1939. *Economic History Review*, 53(3), 478–508.
- [26] Peden, G. C. (2004). *Keynes, the Treasury and British economic policy*. Macmillan.
- [27] Robbins, L. (1934). *The Great Depression*. Macmillan.
- [28] Ritschl, A. (2002). *Germany's financial position after World War I*. Cambridge University Press.
- [29] Rollings, N. (1994). *The regulation of British industry, 1950–1960*. Manchester University Press.
- [30] Ross, D. (2003). *Political economy in Britain, 1750–1950*. Routledge.
- [31] Samuelson, P. A. (1946). Lord Keynes and the General Theory. *Econometrica*, 14(3), 187– 200.
- [32] Sayers, R. S. (1956). *Financial policy, 1939–45*. HMSO.
- [33] Sayers, R. S. (1976). *The Bank of England, 1891–1944* (Vols. 1–3). Cambridge University Press.
- [34] Singh, G., & Gupta, V. (2026). IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND PERCEIVED OUTCOMES OF IFRS CONVERGENCE IN INDIA. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 7(10s), 676–687. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v7.i10s.2026.9222>
- [35] Govind Singh, & Dr. Varsha Gupta. (2024). Impact Of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) Adoption On Financial Performance Of Information Technology Companies In India. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(3), 3240–3246. <https://doi.org/10.67145/kuey.v30i3.9284>
- [36] Skidelsky, R. (1983). *John Maynard Keynes: Hopes betrayed, 1883–1920*. Macmillan.
- [37] Skidelsky, R. (1992). *John Maynard Keynes: The economist as saviour, 1920–1937*. Macmillan.
- [38] Skidelsky, R. (2000). *John Maynard Keynes: Fighting for freedom, 1937–1946*. Macmillan.
- [39] Stevenson, D. (2004). *1914–1918: The history of the First World War*. Penguin.
- [40] Tooze, A. (2006). *The wages of destruction: The making and breaking of the Nazi economy*. Penguin.
- [41] Tomlinson, J. (1990). *Public policy and the economy since 1900*. Oxford University Press.
- [42] Winch, D. (1969). *Economics and policy: A historical study*. Hodder & Stoughton.