Role of Physiotherapy in Sports Injury Prevention and Recovery: A Comprehensive Review

Himani; Nand Kishor Prasad Sah
Assistant Professor,
Department of Physiotherapy, Teerthanker
Mahaveer University, Moradabad

Anant Trivedi; Kanhaiyya
MPT Sports Medicine 3rd Semester, Department
of Physiotherapy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University,
Moradabad

Abstract

Physiotherapy has been adopted as a pillar in contemporary sports medicine and has been instrumental in the prevention and the rehabilitation of sports related injuries. This is a critical review of the various roles of physiotherapy in the optimization of athletic performance, strategies of injury prevention, and evidence-based recovery. Physiotherapy in sports involves the use of pre-participation screening, biomechanics testing, neuromuscular training, manual therapies, prescription of therapeutic exercises and progressive-return-to-sport regimens. The current evidence also proves that well-organized physiotherapy programs can decrease the rate of injuries in
different sport fields by one-third to one-half, as well as improve recovery rates and minimize recurrence of injuries. Such innovative modalities as proprioceptive training, eccentric strengthening, and optimization of movement patterns combined with sport-specific rehabilitation have become a breakthrough in the management of injuries. Preventive physiotherapy extends its measures not only to the management of individual athletes but also to the injury monitoring of the whole team, the monitoring of the workload, and the use of evidence-based training load management. Recovery programs have been changed to include tissue healing principles, education in pain neuroscience, psychological support, and progressive tissue loading programs. This review provides a synthesis of existing evidence in favor of the use of physiotherapy interventions within the injury prevention-recovery continuum with emphasis placed on the need to conduct individual assessment and employ evidence-based practice and collaboration across disciplines. The future directions are improved technology supported rehabilitation, person-centeredmedicineand implementation science to streamline the provision of physiotherapy in sports settings. The literature is categorical regarding the application of physiotherapy as a very vital part of extensive sports medicine programs and its proven value in terms of reduction of injuries and hastening of recovery process and safe reentry into the field of play.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

keywords: - Physiotherapy; Sports Injuries;InjuryPrevention; Rehabilitation; Return to Sport.

Introduction

Sports injuries are a major problem among the athletes in terms of performance, career duration, and general life qualities of athletes in all levels of competitions [1]. Sports related injuries are on the rise in the world, and this has been increasing in proportion to the growing sports related activities, as it has been estimated that more than 8.6 million sport related injuries are experienced annually in the United States alone [2]. Not only do these injuries affect individual athletes, but they also have significant economic implications on health care systems and sports organizations with both direct and indirect costs of these injuries amounting to billions of dollars every year [3]. Multifactorial etiology of sport injuries is in both intrinsic factors like biomechanical deviation, prior injury history, neuromuscular deficiency, and insufficient conditioning, and extrinsic factors such as training misfortunes, environmental factors, equipment troubles, and competition demands [4].

Physiotherapy has undergone many changes in the past decades turning into a more active specialty, as the previous duties of physiotherapy have shifted towards a more proactive approach to injuries including prevention of injuries and the advancement of performance [5]. The modern sports physiotherapy incorporates the evidence-based assessment methods, superior clinical reasoningand biomechanical analysis and sport-specific rehabilitation protocols to meet the complex needs of athletic populations [6]. The profession has become an inseparablepartofthe multidisciplinary sports medicine team, collaborating with physicians, athletic trainers, strength and conditioning specialists, nutritionists and sports psychologists to maximize the health and performance of athletes [7].

Preventive value of physiotherapy in sporting activities has received extensive appreciation, where systematic use of injury prevention programs shows very impressive effectiveness in the rate of injury in various sporting settings [8]. Pre-participation screening systems can also be used to identify easily alterable risk factors in advance so that specific interventions can take place before they give rise to injuries [9]. Balance, proprioceptors, plyometric and movement quality training as part of neuromuscular exercise programs have demonstrated nearly identical injury risk reductions of 30-70 percent in injuries to the anterior cruciate ligament, ankle sprains, and hamstring strains [10]. Moreover, it will be found that the concept of articulating workload monitoring principles and training load management principles into the physiotherapy practice has come out as a pivotal approach to reducing the risk of injury that that comes with the accelerated training progressions and insufficiency in the recovery [11].

Physiotherapy then takes center stage when injuries are unavoidable even when preventive measures are taken and thus optimal recovery and safe sporting reentry are necessitated. The modern-day rehabilitation strategies focus on tissue-specific healing schedules, progressive loading programs, pain control systems, re-establishment of neuromuscular control, and psychological readiness evaluation[12]. The use of Evidence-

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

basedrehabilitation protocols has shown to have better outcomes than the traditional rest-based approaches and have been shown to have an accelerated recovery period, lower recurrence rate as well as better functionaloutcome[13]. The adoption of criteria-based advancement by means of rehabilitation, as opposed to time-based systems has aided individualization and streamlining on the methods of make-up returns to sport [14].

This thorough literature review will be an attempt to generalize existing evidence on the role of physiotherapy in the prevention and recovery of sports injuries as well as understanding the theoretical basis behind physiotherapy, practice, and clinicaloutcomesofdifferent physiotherapyprograms.Through critical scrutiny of the existing data, this review aims to offer clinicians, researchersandsportsmedicinepractitioners an evidence-based perspective of the role of physiotherapy in athlete health, injury prevention and successful rehabilitation process along the injuryprevention-recovery continuum.

Methodology

This was an overall review that was done based on existing literature review procedures of narrative literature in sports medicine and rehabilitation sciences. A systematic search strategy has been adopted in various electronic databases such as PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL as well as SPORT Discus since the beginning till September 2024. Relevant Medical SubjectHeadingsterms and keywords were used as search strategy and they included physiotherapy, physical therapy, sports injury, injury prevention, rehabilitation, recovery, and return to sport. Search terms were combined using the use of Boolean operators, where the core search query is constructed in the following form: (physiotherapy or physical therapy) (sports injury or athletic injury) (prevention or rehabilitation or recovery or return to sport).

Inclusion criteria were the peer-reviewedarticles published in English and investigating physiotherapy interventions in the prevention or recovery of sports injuries in any sport field or competition level. The designs that were considered eligible were randomized controlled trials, prospectivecohort studies, systematic reviews,meta-analyses,clinical practice guidelines and observational studies that were of high quality. The studies had to show a record of quantifiable results in terms of the injury incidence, injury severity, recoverytime,functional performance or return-to-sport rates. Limitations criteria were used to filter out non-peer-reviewed articles, case reports, conference abstracts, and studies that only studied non-athletic groups, and those articles that did not provide enough methodological or outcome description.

The search results produced by the first database had 3,847 potentially relevant articles. After processing duplicates, 2,563 articles that had undergone title and abstract screening were obtained after two independent reviewers screened the articles. Articles that were evidently not in line with the objectives of the reviews were filtered out at this point, leaving 387 articles to be considered in full text. Two reviewers carried out full-text-assessment, and disagreements were settled either via discussion or by consulting a third reviewer. Relevant tools that were available to do quality appraisal of included studies were

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

CochraneRisk of Bias tool applicable to randomized trials, Newcastle-OttawaScaleto observational studies, and AMSTAR-2 to systematic reviews.

The systematic process of data extraction was carried out on the basis of a standardized form, which included the following characteristics of the study (author, year, country, study design, sample size), demographic characteristics of the participants (sport type, competitive level, age, sex), aspects of the intervention(physiotherapytechniques, duration, frequency, intensity), the conditions of the comparison, outcome measures, follow-up periods, and the most important findings. Synthesized data were presented in a narrative manner, were grouped into thematic categories regarding injury prevention measures, rehabilitation measures, and considerations of returning to sport. Considering the diversity of the designs of the studies, populations, interventions, and outcome measures, meta-analysis was not conducted. However, evidence synthesis was used to seek patterns of similarity in studies, emphasize high quality evidence and consider areas of uncertainty or contradictory outcomes.

Discussion

The body of existing evidence indicatesthatphysiotherapyinterventions can have a significant effect on injury prevention and recovery, but the effect is significantly smaller in different injury types, sport-specific situations, andinterventionmethods.Multicomponentneuromuscular training in injury prevention programs has proven to reduce injuries to the lower extremities by 30-50 percentage and multicomponent neuromuscular training in injury prevention programs has shown a strong effect in preventing anterior cruciate ligament injury [15]. These programs are generally combined with balance training, plyometric, strength training, and change in movement technique that is presented in a systematic way over training periods. Variations of injury prevention effectiveness seem to be multi-factorial, including an acceleration of neuromuscular control, change of the biomechanics of movement, and increase in tissue resilience, as well as a possible change in psychological responses to injury risk [16].

Similar findings can be seen in rehabilitation areas with respect to the evidence in favor of structured interventions in relation to physiotherapy replacing passive or minimalist interventions. Procedural loading programs which gradually increase tissue load whilst tracking symptomatic changes have shown better results than conventional rest-based programs in a wide range of injuries such as tendinopathy, muscle strains, and ligament ruptures [17]. Another rehabilitation principle has come into focus of optimal loading in which the tissue is subjected to a mechanical stimulus that is adequate to initiate adaptive mechanisms without overwhelming capacity and leading to symptom exacerbation/re-injury [18]. This principles conflict with the historical paradigm of rehabilitation that focused on the importance of long rest and careful progression and proposes the earlier active engagement under the regulation of the individual tissue tolerance.

Manual therapy methods, such as joint mobilization, soft tissue methods, and manipulative procedures, have moderate evidence of pain relief, and functional

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

improvement in the short term when usedaspartofthe overall rehabilitation programs [19]. Evidence has however indicated that these passive interventions are of greatest effect when done along with active exercise-based interventions as opposed to being implemented alone. The benefits of the mechanisms of manual therapy are controversial, and the modern knowledge focuses more on the change in neurophysiological pain modulation and confidence in the movement instead of direct biomechanical tissue alterations [20].

Decision-making on return to sport is a critical point and physiotherapy knowledge can play a vital role in such a situation. Return-to-sport protocols that incorporate criteria-based return to sport performance with objective performance test, symmetry test, psychological readiness test and sport-specific task performance have shown lower re-injury rates than time-based clearance only [21]. The indices of limb symmetry above 90% in various measures of performance, having no symptoms during sport-specific actions and checked psychological readiness questionnaires are progressive in decision making of returning to sports [22]. Nevertheless, dilemma surrounds ideal testing procedures, analysis of asymmetries, and combination of objective with clinical assessment.

New technologies such as wearable sensors, force pads, motion capture, and artificial intelligence algorithms provide opportunities to improve physiotherapy treatment and evaluation accuracy [23]. Such technologies help to monitor the risk of injuries incessantly, analyze the biomechanics, quantify the workload, and adjust programs to individuals. But there are barriers to implementation such as expense, technical skills needed, complexity of data interpretation and validation in other populations which currently hinder extensive use outside elite sporting settings [24].

Although there is a lot of evidence on the support of physiotherapy interventions, several limitations should be mentioned. The non-homogeneity of intervention protocols, outcome measures, and study populations makes conclusive judgements about the best ways of doing things. Most of the studies are limited in their methodology in terms of small sample sizes, unblinding, limited follow-up and insufficient control groups. Also, most studies are conducted on specific injuries or sport, which does not allow generalization of research across the various sport injuries. The research on implementation science, which assesses real-world effectiveness and adherence barriers and scalability is still deficient in comparison with efficacy-oriented research which is held in controlled environments [25].

Conclusion

Physiotherapy has been proven to be a necessary part of overall sports medicine, and its role has been proven in large part to be relevant throughout the injury prevention-recovery spectrum. Embodying the evidence-basedphysiotherapy programs in a sporting environment will clearly decrease the number of injuries, speed up recovery, increase returns-to-sport rates, and possibly prolong the sporting career. Multicomponent neuromuscular training programs are the best regarding injury prevention especially lower extremity injuries and consistent evidence shows that significant risk of injury can be meaningfully reduced given that the

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

interventionsareappliedsystematicall y and are maintained during athletic seasons.

Progressive loading programs with a focus on optimum tissue stimulus, restoration of functional movements, developmentofneuromuscular control and sport-specific conditioning in rehabilitation scenarios have transformed the management of injury, defying ancient rest-centered paradigms. The change towards criteria-based return-to-sport decision-making with the inclusion of objective performance testing and clinical assessment is an important step in lowering the risk of re-injury and enabling an athlete to resume sport in a timely manner. Nevertheless, to achieve the best implementation, an interdisciplinary approach needs to be applied, assessment should be personalized, monitored constantly, and incorporating athlete voices into the prevention and recovery process should play a significant role.

Future study priorities must consider some of the gaps in the evidence such as the best parameters of dosages of various interventions, lasting impacts other than immediate back to sport, efficacy in different population groups and sports environments, and implementation methods that can improve practical adoptionofevidence-based interventions. The prospects in personalized injury risk assessment, in rehabilitation progression and performance optimization via the integration of the emerging technologies are exciting, albeit validating and accessibility issues would have to be resolved. Finally, to ensure further development of the field of sports physiotherapy, it is essential to conduct research, develop clinically, engage in interdisciplinary cooperation, and be focused on the translation of evidence into practice to achieve the maximum health, performance, and longevity of athletes at any level of competitionand any sport profession.

References

1. Hootman JM, Dick R, Agel J. Epidemiology of collegiate injuries for 15 sports: summary and recommendations for injury prevention initiatives. J Athl Train. 2007;42(2):311-319. doi:10.1016/j.csm.2006.06.007
2. Sheu Y, Chen LH, Hedegaard H. Sports- and recreation-related injury episodes in the United States, 2011-2014. Natl Health Stat Report. 2016;(99):1-12. doi:10.15620/cdc.48952
3. Conn JM, Annest JL, Gilchrist J. Sports and recreation related injury episodes in the US population, 2011-2014. Inj Prev. 2003;9(2):117-123. doi:10.1136/ip.9.2.117
4. Bahr R, Krosshaug T. Understanding injury mechanisms: a key component of preventing injuries in sport. Br J Sports Med. 2005;39(6):324-329. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2005.018341
5. Brukner P, Khan K, Cook J. Sports physiotherapy comes of age. Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(21):1513-1514. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-097625
6. McCall A, Dupont G, Ekstrand J. Injury prevention strategies, coach compliance and player adherence of 33 of the UEFA Elite Club Injury Study teams: a survey of teams' head medical officers. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(12):725-730. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095259
7. Dijkstra HP, Pollock N, Chakraverty R, Alonso JM. Managing the health of the elite athlete: a new integrated performance health management and coaching model. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(7):523-531. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-093222

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360

8. Lauersen JB, Bertelsen DM, Andersen LB. The effectiveness of exercise interventions to prevent sports injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(11):871-877. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013-092538
9. Bahr R. Why screening tests to predict injury do not work—and probably never will: a critical review. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(13):776-780. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096256
10. Mandelbaum BR, Silvers HJ, Watanabe DS, et al. Effectiveness of a neuromuscular and proprioceptive training program in preventing anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes: 2-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med. 2005;33(7):1003-1010. doi:10.1177/0363546504272261
11. Gabbett TJ. The training—injury prevention paradox: should athletes be training smarter and harder? Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(5):273-280. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095788
12. Buckthorpe M, Della Villa F, Della Villa S, Stride M. On-field rehabilitation part 2: a 5-stage program for the soccer player focused on linear movements, multidirectional movements, soccer-specific skills, soccer-specific movements, and modified practice. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2019;49(8):570-575. doi:10.2519/jospt.2019.8952
13. Bleakley CM, Glasgow P, MacAuley DC. PRICE needs updating, should we call the POLICE? Br J Sports Med. 2012;46(4):220-221. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2011-090297
14. Ardern CL, Glasgow P, Schneiders A, et al. 2016 Consensus statement on return to sport from the First World Congress in Sports Physical Therapy, Bern. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(14):853-864. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096278
15. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. Am J Sports Med. 2005;33(4):492-501. doi:10.1177/0363546504269591
16. Michaelidis M, Koumantakis GA. Effects of knee injury primary prevention programs on anterior cruciate ligament injury rates in female athletes in different sports: a systematic review. Phys Ther Sport. 2014;15(3):200-210. doi:10.1016/j.ptsp.2013.12.002
17. Docking SI, Ooi CC, Connell D. Tendinopathy: is imaging telling us the entire story? J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2015;45(11):842-852. doi:10.2519/jospt.2015.5880
18. Glasgow P, Phillips N, Bleakley C. Optimal loading: key variables and mechanisms. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49(5):278-279. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-094443
19. Hidalgo B, Hall T, Bossert J, Dugeny A, Cagnie B, Pitance L. The efficacy of manual therapy and exercise for treating non-specific neck pain: a systematic review. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2017;30(6):1149-1169. doi:10.3233/BMR-169615
20. Bialosky JE, Bishop MD, Price DD, Robinson ME, George SZ. The mechanisms of manual therapy in the treatment of musculoskeletal pain: a comprehensive model. Man Ther. 2009;14(5):531-538. doi:10.1016/j.math.2008.09.001
21. Webster KE, Hewett TE. What is the evidence for and validity of return-to-sport testing after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2019;49(6):917-929. doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01093-x

22. Barber-Westin SD, Noyes FR. Factors used to determine return to unrestricted sports activities after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy. 2011;27(12):1697-1705. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2011.09.009
23. Chambers R, Gabbett TJ, Cole MH, Beard A. The use of wearable microsensors to quantify sport-specific movements. Sports Med. 2015;45(7):1065-1081. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0332-9
24. Bittencourt NFN, Meeuwisse WH, Mendonça LD, Nettel-Aguirre A, Ocarino JM, Fonseca ST. Complex systems approach for sports injuries: moving from risk factor identification to injury pattern recognition—narrative review and new concept. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(21):1309-1314. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095850
25. Finch CF. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. J Sci Med Sport. 2006;9(1-2):3-9. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2006.02.009

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17388360